

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA  
AT BLUEFIELD

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

CRIMINAL NO. 1:12-00158-1

TERRY TESTERMAN

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

In Bluefield, on February 17, 2016, came the defendant, Terry Testerman, in person and by counsel, John D. Wooten, Jr.; came the United States by John L. File, Assistant United States Attorney; and came Senior United States Probation Officer Brett Taylor, for a hearing on the petition to revoke the defendant's term of supervised release.

The court informed the defendant of the alleged violation contained in the petition, filed on January 16, 2015. The court advised the defendant that, pursuant to Rule 32.1(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, he has the right to a hearing and assistance of counsel before his term of supervised release could be revoked or modified. Whereupon the defendant admitted the charges contained in the petition. Thereafter, the court found the charges were established by a preponderance of the evidence.

Having heard arguments of counsel, the court found that the Guideline imprisonment range for the revocation of supervised release upon such grounds was three to nine months. The court further found that the Guideline ranges issued by the Sentencing

Commission with respect to revocation of probation and supervised release are policy statements only and are not binding on the court. Thus, the court stated that the relevant statutory provision is 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3), which provides a maximum term of imprisonment of twenty-four months. Neither party objected to the Guideline range and statutory penalty as determined by the court. The court found that there was sufficient information before the court on which to sentence defendant without updating the presentence investigation report.

After giving counsel for both parties and the defendant an opportunity to speak regarding the matter of disposition, the court **ORDERED**, pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, that the defendant's term of supervised release be revoked, and he is to be incarcerated for a term of eight (8) months. The court recommended that the defendant be incarcerated at the nearest suitable facility to McDowell County, West Virginia. Upon completion of his term of incarceration, the defendant will not be subject to any further supervision by the United States Probation Office. The court also reimposed the unpaid portion of the special assessment in the amount of \$100.00, which is due and payable immediately. If the defendant lacks the means to pay the assessment in a lump sum, he may pay through participation in the Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program in

quarterly installments of \$25, with any balance to be paid immediately upon the defendant's release.

In considering the proper term of imprisonment to be imposed, the court considered the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1), (a)(2)(B), (a)(2)(C), (a)(2)(D), (a)(4), (a)(5), (a)(6), and (a)(7), as well as the Chapter 7 policy statements. The court considered all of the findings in the case, and concluded that the sentence satisfied the statutory objectives of 18 U.S.C. § 3553, and was sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to provide adequate deterrence to criminal conduct and protect the public from further crimes of the defendant.

The defendant was informed of his right to appeal the court's findings and the revocation of his supervised release. The defendant was further informed that in order to initiate such an appeal, a Notice of Appeal must be filed in this court within fourteen (14) days. The defendant was advised that if he wishes to appeal and cannot afford to hire counsel to represent him on appeal, the court will appoint counsel for him. The defendant was further advised that if he so requests, the Clerk of Court will prepare and file a notice of appeal on his behalf.

The defendant was remanded to the custody of the United States Marshals Service.

The Clerk is directed to forward a copy of this Memorandum Opinion and Order to counsel of record, the United

States Marshal for the Southern District of West Virginia, and the Probation Department of this court.

**IT IS SO ORDERED** this 19<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2016.

ENTER:

David A. Faber

David A. Faber  
Senior United States District Judge